The Seattle Longitudinal Study (SLS) was initiated by K. Warner Schaie in 1956, and, for more than half a century, it has provided unique insights into the nature and development of intellectual functioning in adulthood. Undoubtedly, it will continue to do so for many years to come because of its exceptional database.

The first SLS cohort—recruited in 1956—consisted of 500 participants 22 to 67 years of age who were members of the same health maintenance organization and lived in the Seattle area. The cohort members were followed up in seven-year intervals—that is, in 1963, 1970, 1977, 1984, 1991, 1998, and most recently in 2005. In addition to following up the first cohort, the study recruited new cohorts at each assessment wave. With that, the SLS comprises a total of seven cohorts sampling 4,857 participants, of whom 26 were assessed eight times, 86 were assessed seven times, 139 were assessed six times, 262 were assessed
five times, 371 were assessed four times, 591 were assessed three times, 1,235 were assessed twice, and 2,237 were assessed once between 1956 and 2005.

At each assessment wave, participants completed extensive batteries of intelligence tests, including measures of inductive reasoning, word fluency, and perceptual speed, as well as plenty of other measures, such as information on demographic background, lifestyle, personality, and health. These data are the basis of more than 100 scientific publications that inform today's knowledge of adult intellectual functioning. They also underlie Schaie's latest edition of *Developmental Influences on Adult Intelligence: The Seattle Longitudinal Study*. (The first edition was reviewed previously in *PsycCRITIQUES*; Hertzog, 2006.)

Throughout this book, Schaie follows a data-driven research approach that in turn shapes the conceptualization, structure, and, ultimately, the explanatory power of this volume. For example, the opening chapters are classified by research designs rather than by research topics or questions. Another example is the numerous graphs and tables, at occasion spanning more than 10 pages, that are presented throughout the book. Although these allow for a thorough and detailed evaluation of the SLS database, they make for a somewhat dry read.

Later chapters that are devoted to specific topics (e.g., Chapter 11, “Health Behaviors and Intellectual Functioning”) contain reprints of previously published studies. Their sequential aggregation exemplifies the continuity and comprehensiveness of the SLS research, and it again illustrates the methodological and data-based character of this volume. As a result, the book is an indispensable guide for all developmental psychologists—and those aspiring to be—with expertise in psychometrics; for others, the material will be challenging.

Schaie’s theoretical framework for the book comprises five central questions:

1. How does adult intelligence develop?

2. At what age and how much does intelligence decline?

3. What are the generation-specific effects on intellectual development?

4. What explains age-related changes in adult intelligence?

5. Can interventions reverse cognitive decline?

Chapter by chapter, these questions are addressed, supported by empirical findings from the SLS. For Question 1, Schaie concludes that adult intelligence develops differently across individuals; for 2, he notes that there is a noticeable decline in intelligence from the age of 60 years onward and that these decrements in functioning are most prominent around
the age of 80 years; for 3, he observes that older generations perform on average worse than younger generations, independent of age-related changes in intelligence; for 4, Schaie reports associations between numerous factors and age-related changes in intelligence, including lifestyle, health, and happiness; and for 5, he argues that age-related cognitive decline is associated with “disuse” rather than “loss,” and thus it might be reversed through educational interventions.

Many of these conclusions are undisputed; for example, the observation of generation-specific effects on intelligence falls in line with what is commonly known as the Flynn effect (Flynn, 1987). Others have been challenged.

For example, so-called investment theorists of intelligence distinguished early on fluid intelligence, which refers to reasoning power, from crystallized intelligence, which refers to knowledge and learned information (e.g., Ackerman, 1996; Cattell, 1941, 1943). This distinction, which was partly rooted in the observation that fluid and crystallized intelligences develop differently over time, with one declining early on (fluid) and the other increasing throughout adulthood (crystallized), is not mentioned in the book, despite its enduring significance and its implications for the assessment of adult intelligence.

Another example is Schaie’s enthusiasm for the effectiveness of interventions to maintain, improve, and restore adult intelligence, which is not generally shared by other intelligence researchers (Bielak, 2010). A broader embedment of the SLS findings in the psychological literature would have enabled a focused synthesis about the knowns and unknowns in intellectual development and, thus, a clearer guide for future research efforts.

Overall, this book paints a comprehensive picture of the history and findings of the SLS. It offers an SLS-based perspective on adult intelligence that captivates because of its extensive empirical basis.
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